This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,058	12/29/2000	Chris L. Hendriks	1662-32700(P99-2903)	9027
22879	7590 08/10/2004		EXAM	INER
	PACKARD COMPA	STRANGE, AARON N		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/752,058	HENDRIKS, CHRIS L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron Strange	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2004</u> .					
Pa) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	, d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	·u.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				
O Date of Tarkers Office						

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 43 recites the limitation "the second remote web browser" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The Office recommends that the claim be emended to recite "the second remote browser" in line 4 or "a second remote web browser" in lines 1-2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2153

6. Claims 1-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Princeton.edu.

Page 3

- The Office would like to inform Applicant that the collection of references from http://www.princeton.edu/~csg/roaming, beginning with the document titled "Roaming with Netscape Communicator 4.5 and Above", while located in a plurality of separate files, have been treated as a single reference, and numbered by the Examiner. All of the references are parts of the same website, retrieved from the Internet Archive Wayback Machine, dating from 8/19/1999 to 11/17/1999, and relating to the same subject matter. The reference is very much like multiple chapters in a book, and have accordingly been treated a single reference in this Office action. Alternatively, a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) could be made using the combination of references. Since the pages are all part of the same website and relate to the same subject matter, the motivation to combine them is apparent.
- 8. With regard to claim 1, Princeton.edu (Princeton, hereafter) discloses a method of remotely managing navigation data on the Internet, comprising: accessing a remote nav server (roaming access server); and redirecting to the remote nav server accesses and writes of navigation data attempted by the web browser (Profile is retrieved from server at startup, and changes are redirected to the server when quitting) (Page 3, Lines 3-7).
- 9. With regard to claim 2, Princeton further discloses selecting whether the data is to be managed remotely (User has the option to enable/disable roaming) (Page 4).

Art Unit: 2153

- 10. With regard to claim 3, Princeton further discloses starting a nav module coupled to the web browser (Start Guest Login to initiate roaming) (Pages 14-15).
- 11. With regard to claim 4, Princeton further discloses that the nav module, in conjunction with the web browser, prompts the user to select whether navigation data is to be managed remotely (Navigation data can be selected or unselected for remote management via checkboxes in Guest Login window) (Page 15).
- 12. With regard to claim 5, Princeton further discloses that the nav module, in conjunction with the web browser, directs the user to the nav server to log in (Page 14, Step 2).
- 13. With regard to claims 6 and 7, while Princeton fails to specifically disclose that the nav server provides remote protocol including the address and formatting for the navigation data to be redirected to the nav server, this limitation is inherent. Since the navigation data is redirected to the nav server, a protocol, including addressing and formatting information, must be provided in order for the data to be properly received by the nav server.
- 14. With regard to claim 8, Princeton further discloses that accessing a remote server comprises logging on to the remote server as a specific user (UserID/password combo provides unique identification) (Pages 14-15).
- 15. With regard to claim 9, while Princeton fails to specifically disclose that the navigation data is separately stored at the nav server for each user, this limitation is inherent. Since each user has a personal profile that contains specific information such as bookmarks, preferences, and an address book (Page 2, Lines 7-10), the navigation

Art Unit: 2153

data for each user must be separately stored, so each user will get only the information in their particular profile.

- 16. With regard to claim 10, Princeton further discloses that the navigation data is securely stored at the nav server (UserID/password required to access navigation data) (Pages 14-15).
- 17. With regard to claim 11, Princeton discloses a system for remotely managing navigation data, comprising: a remote nav server accessible via the Internet (profile server) (Page 2, Lines 8-9); and a nav module coupled to a web browser (Netscape) redirecting accesses and writes of navigation data attempted by the web browser to the remote nav server (Navigation data is downloaded from server at startup and uploaded to the server when quitting) (Page 3).
- 18. With regard to claim 12, Princeton further discloses that the nav server includes memory to store the navigation data (Profiles are stored on the profile server) (Page 2, Lines 8-9).
- 19. With regard to claim 13, Princeton further discloses that the nav server securely stores the navigation data (UserID/password required to access navigation data) (Pages 14-15).
- 20. With regard to claim 14, Princeton further discloses that the nav server comprises an interface login protocol which requires the user to login (UserID/password required to access navigation data) (Pages 14-15).
- 21. With regard to claim 15, while Princeton fails to specifically disclose that the nav server separately stores the navigation data for each user, this limitation is inherent.

Art Unit: 2153

Since each user has a personal profile that contains specific information such as bookmarks, preferences, and an address book (Page 2, Lines 7-10), the navigation data for each user must be separately stored, so each user will get only the information in their particular profile.

- 22. With regard to claim 16, Princeton further discloses an Internet service provider (Princeton University), wherein the nav server is incorporated within the Internet service provider (the campus has a dedicated roaming profile server, roaming.Princeton.edu) (Page 2, Lines 8-9 and Page 5, Line 2).
- 23. With regard to claim 17, Princeton further discloses that the nav module prompts the user to select whether the data is to be managed remotely (Navigation data can be selected or unselected for remote management via checkboxes in Guest Login window) (Page 15).
- 24. With regard to claim 18, Princeton further discloses that the nav module propts the user to select whether navigation data is to be managed remotely each time navigation data is to be accessed (Navigation data can be selected or unselected for remote management via checkboxes in Guest Login window) (Page 15).
- 25. With regard to claim 19, Princeton further discloses that the nav module in conjunction with the web browser directs the user to the remote nav server (the user is directed to the nav server by logging in) (Page 15, Line 6).
- 26. With regard to claim 20, while Princeton fails to specifically disclose that the nav module retrieves the remote protocol for redirecting the navigation data from the nav server, this limitation is inherent. Since the navigation data is redirected to the nav

Art Unit: 2153

server a protocol for data transfer must be provided in order for the data to be properly received by the nav server.

- 27. With regard to claim 21, Princeton further discloses that the nav module intercepts attempts to read or write navigation data and redirects those attempts to the remote nav server (Profile is retrieved from server at startup, and changes are redirected to the server when quitting) (Page 3, Lines 3-7).
- 28. With regard to claim 22, while Princeton fails to specifically disclose that the nav module overlays that portion of the web browser responsible for attempts to read or write navigation data (Page 3, Lines 3-4), this limitation in inherent. Since all changes to the navigation data are send to the server when the user quits roaming, the nav module must overlay the part of the web browser responsible for attempts to read or write navigation data. The nav module must be aware of all changes to the navigation data in order to send those changes to the server.
- 29. With regard to claim 23, while Princeton fails to specifically disclose that the nav module passes to the web browser a remote protocol for redirecting the navigation data to the nav server, this limitation is inherent. Since the navigation data is redirected to the nav server a protocol for data transfer must be provided in order for the data to be properly received by the nav server.
- 30. With regard to claim 24, Princeton further discloses that the nav module is incorporated within the web browser (Nav module is a component of Netscape) (Pages 14-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/752,058 Page 8

Art Unit: 2153

31. With regard to claim 25, Princeton discloses a method for managing navigation data on the Internet, comprising: accessing a remote nav server (roaming access server); and downloading navigation data from the remove nav server, wherein the navigation data is stored locally for subsequent use by a web browser without accessing the remote nav server (Profile is retrieved from server at startup, and changes are redirected to the server only when quitting) (Page 3, Lines 3-7).

- 32. With regard to claim 26, Princeton further discloses redirecting to the remote nav server accesses and writes of navigation data attempted by the web browser (Changes are sent to the nav server when quitting) (Page 3, Lines 3-7).
- 33. With regard to claim 27, Princeton further discloses starting a nav module coupled to the web browser (Start Guest Login to initiate roaming) (Pages 14-15).
- 34. With regard to claim 28, Princeton further discloses that the navigation data comprises a cookie (User can select cookies to be included in profile) (Page 15).
- 35. With regard to claim 29, Princeton further discloses that the navigation data comprises a bookmark (User can select bookmarks to be included in profile) (Page 15).
- 36. With regard to claim 30, Princeton further discloses downloading data from the remote nav server, wherein the navigation data is stored locally for subsequent use by thr web browser without accessing the remote nav server (Profile is retrieved from server at startup, and changes are redirected to the server only when quitting) (Page 3, Lines 3-7).
- 37. With regard to claim 31, Princeton further discloses that the navigation data comprises a cookie (User can select cookies to be included in profile) (Page 15).

Art Unit: 2153

- 38. With regard to claim 32, Princeton further discloses that the navigation data comprises a bookmark (User can select bookmarks to be included in profile) (Page 15).
- 39. With regard to claim 33, Princeton discloses a method for managing navigation data on the Internet, comprising storing a cookie received from a remote web browser (Profile containing cookies is stored) (Page 2, Lines 8-9); receiving a request from the remote web browser for the cookie (Start up roaming and request profile) (Page 3, Lines 3-4); and sending the cookie to the remote web browser (Profile is transferred to local computer) (Page 3, Lines 3-4).
- 40. With regard to claim 34, Princeton further discloses receiving a request from a second remote web browser for the cookie; and sending the cookie to the second remote web browser (Roaming from two computers simultaneously is allowed) (Page 3, Lines 6-7).
- 41. With regard to claim 35, Princeton discloses a method for managing navigation data on the Internet, comprising storing a bookmark received from a remote web browser (Profile containing bookmarks is stored) (Page 2, Lines 8-9), wherein the bookmark is created using a bookmark interface of the remote web browser; receiving a request from the remote web browser for the bookmark (Start up roaming and request profile) (Page 3, Lines 3-4); and sending the bookmark to the remote web browser (Profile is transferred to local computer) (Page 3, Lines 3-4).
- 42. With regard to claim 36, Princeton further discloses receiving a request from a second remote web browser for the bookmark; and sending the bookmark to the second

Art Unit: 2153

remote web browser (Roaming from two computers simultaneously is allowed) (Page 3, Lines 6-7).

- 43. With regard to claim 37, Princeton discloses a system, comprising: a storage device in which navigation data is stored (profile server) (Page 2, Lines 8-9); a network connection that sends and receives navigation data (Profile is downloaded/uploaded) (Page 3, Lines 3-4); and instructions stored in the storage device that cause the system to receive a cookie (Profiles contain cookies) (Page 6) when a remote web browser attempts to store the cookie, store the cookie in the storage device (Profile changes are stored when user quits roaming), and send the cookie to the remote web browser when the remote web browser attempts to access the cookie (Profile is downloaded when roaming is initiated) (Page 3, Lines 3-4).
- 44. With regard to claim 38, Princeton further discloses the instructions further causing the system to send the cookie to a second remote web browser when the second remote web browser attempts to access the cookie (Roaming from two computers simultaneously is allowed) (Page 3, Lines 6-7).
- 45. With regard to claim 39, Princeton further discloses the instructions further causing the system to store a bookmark when the bookmark is created using a bookmark interface of the remote web browser, and send the bookmark to the remote web browser when the bookmark is selected using the bookmark interface (Profiles also contain bookmarks) (Page 6).
- 46. With regard to claim 40, Princeton further discloses the instructions further causing the system to receive a request from a second web browser for the bookmark,

Art Unit: 2153

and send the bookmark to the second remote web browser (Roaming from two computers simultaneously is allowed) (Page 3, Lines 6-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 47. Claims 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Princeton in view of Anderson.
- 48. With regard to claims 41-43, Princeton discloses a navigation server, comprising: a network connection that sends a cookie to a remote web browser and a storage device in which the cookie is stored (Profile is stored on roaming server) (Page 2, Lines 8-9). However, Princeton fails to disclose that when the remote web browser or a second remote browser attempts to access the cookie in a local storage device on a computer where the remote web browser is executing, the navigation server sends the cookie to the remote web browser or second remote browser or when the remote web browser attempts to store the cookie to the local storage, the cookie is stored in the storage device of the navigation server.

Anderson teaches a method of accessing files on a remote server that appear to be located locally. NFS allows the client to mount a remote directory and access it in the same manner as a local directory. When a client attempts to access the file, the request is redirected to the remote server and the file is sent from the server to the client (Page 1, Lines 1-6). This would be as advantageous addition to the system disclosed by Princeton since it would allow real time updates of navigation data, allowing multiple users to update and access the same profile simultaneously.

Art Unit: 2153

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a file system such as NFS to allow the client to read/write cookies stored on a remote server in the same manner as cookies stored in local storage. This allows real time access to the user profiles disclosed by Princeton and would allow multiple users to update and access the same profile simultaneously.

Conclusion

- 49. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 50. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,058 Page 13

Art Unit: 2153

51. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 703-305-8878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANS 8/5/2004

GLEATON B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100